3

## Performance Evaluation of Bayesian Decision Feedback Equalizer with M-PAM Symbols Using Importance Sampling Simulation

#### Sheng Chen

Department of Electronics and Computer Science University of Southampton Southampton SO17 1BJ United Kingdom sqc@ecs.soton.ac.uk

#### Presented at 2nd IMA Conference on Mathematics in Communications 16-18 December 2002 Lancaster University

Electronics and Computer Science	University of Southampton	1
Communication Group		S Chen



- Choose: the decision delay  $d = n_h 1$ , feedforward order  $m = n_h$  and feedback order  $n_b = n_h 1 \Rightarrow$  sufficient to guarantee a linear separability for different signal classes.
- The observation vector  $\mathbf{y}(k)$  can be expressed as

Electronics and

$$\mathbf{y}(k) = \mathbf{H}_1 \ \mathbf{s}_f(k) + \mathbf{H}_2 \ \mathbf{s}_b(k) + \mathbf{n}(k)$$

with  $\mathbf{s}_f(k) = [s(k) \cdots s(k-d)]^T$ ,  $\mathbf{s}_b(k) = [s(k-d-1) \cdots s(k-d-n_b)]^T$  and

$$\mathbf{H}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} h_{0} & h_{1} & \cdots & h_{n_{h}-1} \\ 0 & h_{0} & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & h_{1} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & h_{0} \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{H}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ h_{n_{h}-1} & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ h_{1} & \cdots & h_{n_{h}-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

### **PAM Channel Model**

• Received signal model

$$y(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{n_h - 1} h_i s(k - i) + n(k)$$

where  $h_i$  are the CIR taps with  $n_h$  the length of the CIR, the AWGN n(k) has variance  $\sigma_n^2$ , and s(k) takes the value from the symbol set

$$\mathcal{S} = \{s_i = 2i - M - 1, \ 1 \le i \le M\}$$

• The generic DFE uses the information present in

$$\mathbf{y}(k) = [y(k)\cdots y(k-m+1)]^T \quad \hat{\mathbf{s}}_b(k) = [\hat{s}(k-d-1)\cdots \hat{s}(k-d-n_b)]^T$$
  
to produce an estimate  $\hat{s}(k-d)$  of  $s(k-d)$ .

Communication Group

#### S Chen

2

## Feedback as Space Translation

• Assume correct past decisions, we have

$$\mathbf{y}(k) = \mathbf{H}_1 \mathbf{s}_f(k) + \mathbf{H}_2 \mathbf{\hat{s}}_b(k) + \mathbf{n}(k)$$

• Thus the decision feedback translate  $\mathbf{y}(k)$  into a new space:

$$\mathbf{r}(k) = \mathbf{y}(k) - \mathbf{H}_2 \,\,\mathbf{\hat{s}}_b(k)$$

- $\Rightarrow$  We can consider the DFE in the space  $\mathbf{r}(k)$ , instead of the space  $\mathbf{y}(k)$ .
- Define the set of the signal states in this new space as

$$R = \{\mathbf{r}_j = \mathbf{H}_1 \mathbf{s}_{f,j}, \ 1 \le j \le N_f\}$$

which can be partitioned into M subsets conditioned on  $\boldsymbol{s}(k-d)$  :

$$R^{(i)} = \{ \mathbf{r}_j \in R : \ s(k-d) = s_i \}, \ 1 \le i \le M$$

Electronics and Computer Science

S Chen

## **Bayesian Decision Feedback Equaliser**

The Bayesian DFE involves:

• computing the M decision variables for  $1 \leq i \leq M$ 

$$\rho_i(\mathbf{r}(k)) = \sum_{\mathbf{r}_j \in R^{(i)}} \exp\left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{r}(k) - \mathbf{r}_j\|^2}{2\sigma_n^2}\right)$$

• making the decision according to

$$\hat{s}(k-d) = s_{i^*}$$
 with  $i^* = \arg \max_{1 \le i \le M} \{ \rho_i(\mathbf{r}(k)) \}$ 

Investigating the performance of the the Bayesian DFE under the condition of SER lower than  $10^{-6}$  is very difficult if not impossible, using a conventional Monte Carlo simulation.

# Symmetric Structure of Subset States

• Lemma 1: For  $1 \le i \le M - 1$ ,  $R^{(i+1)}$  is a translation of  $R^{(i)}$ :

$$R^{(i+1)} = R^{(i)} + 2\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{rev}}$$
 with  $\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{rev}} = [h_{n_h-1}\cdots h_1 \ h_0]^T$ 

- Asymptotically as the SNR tends to infinity, the decision boundary  $\mathcal{B}_{i+1}$  for separating  $R^{(i+1)}$  and  $R^{(i+2)}$  is a shift of  $\mathcal{B}_i$  by an amount  $2\mathbf{h}_{rev}$ .
- Thus, we only need to consider two neighbouring subsets, for example,  $R^{(M/2)}$  and  $R^{((M/2)+1)}$  (the classes of  $s_{M/2} = -1$  and  $s_{(M/2)+1} = 1$ ).
- Definition 1: A pair of opposite-class states  $(\mathbf{r}^{(+)} \in R^{((M/2)+1)}, \mathbf{r}^{(-)} \in R^{(M/2)}$  is said to be Gabriel neighbours if  $\forall \mathbf{r}_j \in R^{(M/2)} \bigcup R^{((M/2)+1)}$ ,  $\mathbf{r}_j \neq \mathbf{r}^{(+)}$  and  $\mathbf{r}_j \neq \mathbf{r}^{(-)}$ :

$$\|\mathbf{r}_{j} - \mathbf{r}_{0}\|^{2} > \|\mathbf{r}^{(+)} - \mathbf{r}_{0}\|^{2}$$

where  $\mathbf{r}_0 = (\mathbf{r}^{(+)} + \mathbf{r}^{(-)})/2.$ 

Electronics and Computer Science

#### Importance Sampling Simulation

- Importance sampling aims to reduce the variance of an error rate estimator ⇒ achieve a given precision from shorter simulation runs.
- The basic idea is that certain input variable values have more impact on the error probability. If these "important" values are emphasized by sampling more frequently, the estimator variance can be reduced.
- Fundamental issue: choice of the biased distribution to encourage the important regions of the simulation input variables.
- Mean translation approach: the distribution is moved toward the error region, usually by shifting the density to a decision boundary.
- An asymptotically efficient estimator requires a number of simulation trials which grows less than exponentially fast as the error rate tends to zero  $\Rightarrow$  realistic to attempt extremely low error rate simulation.

## Illustration of Symmetric and Shifting Properties



8

## Asymptotic Bayesian Decision Boundary

- Lemma 2: Asymptotically,  $\mathcal{B}_{M/2}$  for separating  $R^{(M/2)}$  and  $R^{((M/2)+1)}$  is piecewise linear and made up of L hyperplanes. Each hyperplane is defined by a pair of Gabriel neighbours  $(\mathbf{r}^{(+)}, \mathbf{r}^{(-)})$ .
- A necessary condition for a point  $\mathbf{r}_B \in \mathcal{B}_{M/2}$

$$\mathbf{r}_B = rac{\mathbf{r}^{(+)} + \mathbf{r}^{(-)}}{2} + \left[rac{\mathbf{r}^{(+)} - \mathbf{r}^{(-)}}{2}
ight]^{ot}$$

where  $\mathbf{x}^{\!\perp}$  denotes an arbitrary vector in the subspace orthogonal to  $\mathbf{x}.$ 

• The sufficient conditions for  $\mathbf{r}_B \in \mathcal{B}_{M/2}$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{r}_{B} - \mathbf{r}^{(+)}\|^{2} &< \|\mathbf{r}_{B} - \mathbf{r}_{l}\|^{2}, \ \forall \mathbf{r}_{l} \in R^{((M/2)+1)}, \ \mathbf{r}_{l} \neq \mathbf{r}^{(+)} \\ \|\mathbf{r}_{B} - \mathbf{r}^{(-)}\|^{2} &< \|\mathbf{r}_{B} - \mathbf{r}_{j}\|^{2}, \ \forall \mathbf{r}_{j} \in R^{(M/2)}, \ \mathbf{r}_{j} \neq \mathbf{r}^{(-)} \\ \|\mathbf{r}_{B} - \mathbf{r}^{(+)}\|^{2} &= \|\mathbf{r}_{B} - \mathbf{r}^{(-)}\|^{2} \end{aligned}$$

Electronics and Computer Science

Communication Group

S Chen

9



• The aim is to estimate the lower-bound SER of the Bayesian DFE

$$P_E = \mathsf{Prob}\{\hat{s}(k-d) \neq s(k-d)\}$$

• Define a "binary" Bayesian DFE on  $R^{(M/2)}$  and  $R^{((M/2)+1)}$ :

$$f_b(\mathbf{r}(k)) = \sum_{\mathbf{r}_j \in R^{((M/2)+1)}} \exp\left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{r}(k) - \mathbf{r}_j\|^2}{2\sigma_n^2}\right) - \sum_{\mathbf{r}_l \in R^{(M/2)}} \exp\left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{r}(k) - \mathbf{r}_l\|^2}{2\sigma_n^2}\right)$$
$$\hat{s}(k-d) = \begin{cases} 1, & \operatorname{sgn}(f_b(\mathbf{r}(k))) \ge 0, \\ -1, & \operatorname{sgn}(f_b(\mathbf{r}(k))) < 0. \end{cases}$$

• If the error rate of this "binary" Bayesian DFE is  $P_e$ , it can be shown:

$$P_E = \gamma P_e \quad \text{with} \quad \gamma = 2(M-1)/M$$

Identifying Gabriel Neighbour Pairs

Simple algorithm to select the set of Gabriel neighbour pairs  $\{\mathbf{r}_{l}^{(+)}, \mathbf{r}_{l}^{(-)}\}_{l=1}^{L}$ :

$$L = 0;$$
  
FOR  $\mathbf{r}_{q}^{(+)} \in R^{\left(\frac{M}{2}+1\right)}$   
FOR  $\mathbf{r}_{j}^{(-)} \in R^{\left(\frac{M}{2}\right)}$   
 $\mathbf{x} = \frac{\mathbf{r}_{q}^{(+)} + \mathbf{r}_{j}^{(-)}}{2};$   
 $\mathbf{H} \left( \|\mathbf{r}_{l}^{(+)} - \mathbf{x}\|^{2} > \eta, \forall \mathbf{r}_{l}^{(+)} \in R^{\left(\frac{M}{2}+1\right)}, l \neq q \right) \text{ AND}$   
 $\left( \|\mathbf{r}_{l}^{(-)} - \mathbf{x}\|^{2} > \eta, \forall \mathbf{r}_{l}^{(-)} \in R^{\left(\frac{M}{2}\right)}, l \neq j \right)$   
 $L += 1;$   
 $R_{\text{Gabriel}} \leftarrow (\mathbf{r}_{L}^{(+)}, \mathbf{r}_{L}^{(-)}) \triangleq (\mathbf{r}_{q}^{(+)}, \mathbf{r}_{j}^{(-)});$   
END IF  
NEXT  $\mathbf{r}_{q}^{(-)}$   
NEXT  $\mathbf{r}_{q}^{(+)}$   
NEXT  $\mathbf{r}_{q}^{(+)}$   
10  
Communication Group



• An IS simulation technique evaluate  $P_e$  as follows:

$$\hat{P}_{e} = \frac{1}{N_{s}} \frac{1}{N_{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{s}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{k}} I_{E}(\mathbf{r}_{j}(k)) \frac{p(\mathbf{r}_{j}(k)|\mathbf{r}_{j})}{p^{*}(\mathbf{r}_{j}(k)|\mathbf{r}_{j})}$$

- $I_E(\mathbf{r}(k)) = 1$  if  $\mathbf{r}(k)$  causes an error, and  $I_E(\mathbf{r}(k)) = 0$  otherwise.  $p(\mathbf{r}_j(k)|\mathbf{r}_j)$  is the true conditional density given  $\mathbf{r}_j \in R^{((M/2)+1)}$ , and  $N_s = N_f/M$  is the number of states in  $R^{((M/2)+1)}$
- The sample  $\mathbf{r}_j(k)$  is generated using the simulation density:

$$p^{*}(\mathbf{r}_{j}(k)|\mathbf{r}_{j}) = \sum_{l=1}^{L_{j}} \frac{p_{l,j}}{(2\pi\sigma_{n}^{2})^{\frac{m}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{r}_{j}(k) - \mathbf{v}_{l,j}\|^{2}}{2\sigma_{n}^{2}}\right)$$

where  $L_j$  is the number of the bias vectors  $\mathbf{c}_{l,j} = -\mathbf{r}_j + \mathbf{v}_{l,j}$  for  $\mathbf{r}_j \in R^{((M/2)+1)}$ ,  $p_{l,j} \ge 0$  for  $1 \le l \le L_j$ , and  $\sum_{l=1}^{L_j} p_{l,j} = 1$ .

Electronics and Computer Science

# **Constructing IS Simulation Density**

• Each pair  $(\mathbf{r}_l^{(+)},\mathbf{r}_l^{(-)})$  in the set of Gabriel neighbours defines a hyperplane

 $H_l(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{w}_l^T \mathbf{r} + b_l = 0$ 

that is part of the asymptotic decision boundary  $\mathcal{B}_{M/2}$ , with

$$\mathbf{w}_{l} = \frac{2\left(\mathbf{r}_{l}^{(+)} - \mathbf{r}_{l}^{(-)}\right)}{\|\mathbf{r}_{l}^{(+)} - \mathbf{r}_{l}^{(-)}\|^{2}} \quad b_{l} = -\frac{(\mathbf{r}_{l}^{(+)} - \mathbf{r}_{l}^{(-)})^{T}(\mathbf{r}_{l}^{(+)} + \mathbf{r}_{l}^{(-)})}{\|\mathbf{r}_{l}^{(+)} - \mathbf{r}_{l}^{(-)}\|^{2}}$$

- $H_l$  is canonical with  $H_l(\mathbf{r}_l^{(+)}) = 1$  and  $H_l(\mathbf{r}_l^{(-)}) = -1$ .
- Definition 2: A state  $\mathbf{r}_j^{(-)} \in R^{(M/2)}$  is sufficiently separable by  $H_l$  if  $\mathbf{w}_l^T \mathbf{r}_j^{(-)} + b_l \leq -1$ . Similarly,  $\mathbf{r}_j^{(+)} \in R^{((M/2)+1)}$  is sufficiently separable by  $H_l$  if  $\mathbf{w}_l^T \mathbf{r}_j^{(+)} + b_l \geq 1$ .

Communication Group

14 S Chen

• The whole process produces the separability and reachability table:

	${f r}_1^{(-)}$		$\mathbf{r}_{Ns}^{(-)}$	$\mathbf{r}_1^{(+)}$		$\mathbf{r}_{Ns}^{(+)}$
$H_1$	$lpha_{1,1}^{(-)}$	• • •	$lpha_{1,Ns}^{(-)}$	$lpha_{1,1}^{(+)}\left(\gamma_{1,1} ight)$	•••	$lpha_{1,Ns}^{\left(+ ight)}\left(\gamma_{1,Ns} ight)$
÷	:		:			
$H_L$	$lpha_{L,1}^{(-)}$		$\alpha_{L,Ns}^{(-)}$	$lpha_{L,1}^{(+)}\left(\gamma_{L,1} ight)$		$lpha_{L,Ns}^{(+)}\left(\gamma_{L,Ns} ight)$

• For each  $\mathbf{r}_j^{(+)} \in R^{((M/2)+1)}$ , select those hyperplanes that can sufficiently separate  $\mathbf{r}_j^{(+)}$  and are reachable from  $\mathbf{r}_j^{(+)}$  or define the integer set:

$$G_j^{(+)} = \{q: \ \alpha_{q,j}^{(+)} = 1 \text{ and } \gamma_{q,j} = 1 \}$$

• The convex region  $\mathcal{R}_j^{(+)}$  covering  $\mathbf{r}_j^{(+)}$  is the intersection of all the half-spaces  $\mathcal{H}_q^{(+)} = \{\mathbf{r} : H_q(\mathbf{r}) \ge 0\}$  with  $q \in G_j^{(+)}$ .

In fact, a subset of the hyperplanes defined by  $G_j^{(+)}$  is sufficient, provided that every opposite-class state in  $R^{(M/2)}$  can sufficiently be separated by at least one hyperplane in the subset.

Electronics and Computer Science

- The IS gain is defined as the ratio of the numbers of trials required for the same estimate variance using the conventional Monte Carlo and IS methods.
- An estimate of the IS gain for  $\hat{P}_e$  is

$$\Gamma = \frac{\hat{P}_e(1-\hat{P}_e)}{\hat{\eta} - \hat{P}_e^2}$$

with

$$\hat{\eta} = \frac{1}{N_s} \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{j=1}^{N_s} \sum_{k=1}^{N_k} I_E(\mathbf{r}_j(k)) \left(\frac{p(\mathbf{r}_j(k)|\mathbf{r}_j)}{p^*(\mathbf{r}_j(k)|\mathbf{r}_j)}\right)^2$$

• The IS simulated  $P_E$  is simply  $\hat{P}_E=\gamma\hat{P}_e,$  and the estimated IS gain for  $\hat{P}_e$  will be used as the estimated IS gain for  $\hat{P}_E.$ 

13

- Definition 3:  $H_l$  is reachable from  $\mathbf{r}_j^{(+)} \in R^{((M/2)+1)}$  if the projection of  $\mathbf{r}_j^{(+)}$  onto  $H_l$  is on  $\mathcal{B}_{M/2}$ .
- For each  $\mathbf{r}_j^{(-)} \in R^{(M/2)}$ , its separability index for  $H_l$  is  $\alpha_{l,j}^{(-)} = 1$  if  $\mathbf{r}_j^{(-)}$  is sufficiently separable by  $H_l$ ; otherwise  $\alpha_{l,j}^{(-)} = 0$ .

The separability index  $\alpha_{l,j}^{(+)}$  for  $\mathbf{r}_j^{(+)} \in R^{((M/2)+1)}$  is similarly defined.

- The reachability of  $H_l$  from  $\mathbf{r}_j^{(+)} \in R^{((M/2)+1)}$  is tested by computing

$$\mathbf{c}_{l,j} = -0.5 \left( \mathbf{w}_l^T \mathbf{r}_j^{(+)} + b_l \right) \left( \mathbf{r}_l^{(+)} - \mathbf{r}_l^{(-)} \right)$$

If  $\mathbf{v}_{l,j} = \mathbf{r}_j^{(+)} + \mathbf{c}_{l,j} \in \mathcal{B}_{M/2}$  (i.e.  $f_b(\mathbf{v}_{l,j}) = 0$ ),  $H_l$  is reachable from  $\mathbf{r}_j^{(+)}$  ( $\mathbf{c}_{l,j}$  is then a bias vector), and the reachability index is  $\gamma_{l,j} = 1$ ; otherwise  $\gamma_{l,j} = 0$ .

Electronics and Computer Science

## **Remarks on the Construction**

If the above construction of the simulation density can be done, that is,  $G_i^{(+)}$  exists for each  $\mathbf{r}_i^{(+)} \in R^{((M/2)+1)}$ , then

1. the error region  ${\mathcal E}$  satisfies

$$\mathcal{E} \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}_j^{(+)}} = igcup_{q \in G_j^{(+)}} \mathcal{H}_q^{(-)}$$

with the half-spaces  $\mathcal{H}_q^{(-)} = \{ \mathbf{r} : H_q(\mathbf{r}) < 0 \};$ 

2. all the hyperplanes defined in  $G_j^{(+)}$  are reachable from  ${f r}_j^{(+)}$ ; and

3. at least one of  $\{\mathbf{v}_{q,j}\}$  is the so-called minimum rate point.

The simulation density constructed with the bias vectors  $\{\mathbf{c}_{q,j}\}$ ,  $q \in G_j^{(+)}$ , for all j will guarantee asymptotic efficiency.

Simulation Example 1

- Channel  $\mathbf{h} = [0.3 \ 1.0]^T$  with 8-PAM symbols, m = 2, d = 1 and  $n_b = 1$ .
- R had 64 states. Nine pairs of Gabriel neighbours were selected from  $R^{(4)}$  and  $R^{(5)},$  and an AE simulation density was constructed:



Thick solid curve indicates the asymptotic decision boundary, thick dashed curve the true optimal decision boundary for small SNR, and thin lines indicates the bias vectors used in the simulation density.

• Uniform probability for the bias vectors  $(p_{l,j} = 1/L_j)$ . For each SNR,  $N_k = 10^5$ . Thus, the total samples used for a given SNR were  $8 \times 10^5$ .

Electronics and Computer Science

## Illustration of Simulation Density Construction

An illustration of the simulation density construction for the case of binary (M = 2) symbols with a two-tap channel. In this example, there are three Gabriel neighbour pairs  $(\mathbf{r}_1^{(+)}, \mathbf{r}_1^{(-)})$ ,  $(\mathbf{r}_1^{(+)}, \mathbf{r}_2^{(-)})$  and  $(\mathbf{r}_2^{(+)}, \mathbf{r}_2^{(-)})$ . The asymptotic decision boundary is formed from the three corresponding hyperplanes  $H_1$ ,  $H_2$  and  $H_3$ .









Electronics and



The lower-bound SERs (a) and the estimated IS gain (b) of the Bayesian DFE for  $\mathbf{h} = [0.3 \ 1.0]^T$  with 8-PAM symbols.

CS: conventional simulation, IS: importance sampling simulation.

18

S Chen

Electronics and Computer Science

University of Southampton

21

- Channel  $\mathbf{h} = [0.3 \ 1.0 \ -0.3]^T$  with 8-PAM symbols, m = 3, d = 2 and  $n_b = 2$ .
- R had 512 states. Nineteen pairs of Gabriel neighbours were found from  $R^{(4)}$  and  $R^{(5)}$ , leading to the separability and reachability table from which an AE simulation density was constructed.
- The bias vectors were selected with uniform probability in the simulation.
- For each SNR,  $N_k = 10^4$  samples were used for each state in  $\mathcal{R}^{(5)}$ , resulting in a total of  $6.4 \times 10^5$  samples for a given SNR.



The lower-bound SERs (a) and the estimated IS gain (b) of the Bayesian DFE for  $\mathbf{h} = [0.3 \ 1.0 \ -0.3]^T$  with 8-PAM symbols.

CS: conventional simulation, IS: importance sampling simulation.

